



## Model-agnostic Explanations of Black-box Prediction Models using Rough Sets – the case of postcompetition analytics at KnowledgePit.ai

Andrzej Janusz







This research was co-funded by Smart Growth Operational Programme 2014-2020, financed by European Regional Development Fund, in frame of project POIR.01.01.01-00-1070/21, operated by National Centre for Research and Development in Poland.

### Agenda

- 1. Data science competitions:
  - What are they?
  - How they work?
  - An exemplary competition.
- 2. Post-competition data analysis:
  - What can we learn about solutions?
  - How rough sets can help?
  - What can we learn about data?



### **Data science competitions**

For organizers/companies:

- an easy way of outsourcing work to the community
- a reliable feasibility study
- significant reduction of research costs
- an opportunity to acquire new or evaluate data science specialists

For contestants:

- experience
- a publication opportunity
- fame and glory (3)
- rewards
- exposure to novel research topics and job opportunities



### A historical perspective



# How does it (usually) work?

### A typical competition schema

- 1. The available data set is divided into the training and test parts.
- 2. Target values (e.g., labels) for the test set are hidden from participants they need to be predicted.
- **3.** Participants submit solutions which are assessed on a sample from the test set.
- 4. Participants select their most reliable models and write short reports.
- 5. The final solutions are evaluated on the remaining test data.





# KnowledgePit.ai

- Stimulates data science research and knowledge exchange in the community.
- Provides interesting data sets and research topics.
- Establishes connections between industry and academia.
- Promotes interesting events and conferences.
- Provides commercial services to companies that seek state-of-the-art in ML.
- Includes modern XAI functionalities to support competition participants, sponsors, and organizers.

### Main competition topics (so far)

- Firefighting 2014, 2015
- Financial/retail industry 2015, 2017
- Coal mining 2015, 2016
- Video games 2017, 2018, 2019, 2021, 2023 (we like video games (3))
- Customer service 2020
- Privacy preservation in video analysis 2022
- Transportation and logistics 2022
- Cyber-security and hardware monitoring 2019, 2020, 2023
- and many other, smaller challenges for my students at MIM UW...



### **Suspicious Network Event Recognition**



https://knowledgepit.ai/suspicious-network-event-recognition/

## Scope of the challenge

Learn to identify ThreatWatch alerts that ought to be reported to SOD's clients as truly suspicious.

Raw data provided by the SOD company:

- ThreatWatch alerts investigated by analysts at SOD's Security Operations Center (~6\*10<sup>4</sup> records, ~15MB of data).
- Localized alerts corresponding to the investigated ThreatWatch alerts (~8.7\*10<sup>6</sup> records, ~2GB of data).
- History of log events for each of the investigated ThreatWatch alerts (~9\*10<sup>9</sup> records, ~4.5TB of data).



### Available data

- ThreatWatch alerts (61 features):
  - imbalanced decision classes (only ~5.7% of '1' class),
  - hidden ordering of alerts to avoid data leaks.
- Localized alerts identified by SOD's rule-based heuristics:
  - series of events ordered in time,
  - each alert described by 20 features.
- Log events all logs gathered for individual ThreatWatch alerts
  - > 29 data chunks, each between 10-20GB
  - records ordered in time, described by 26 features



Image: freepik.com

## **Results – what have we learned?**

- Top solutions dominated by the tree-based gradient boosting machines.
  - Out of nearly 250 participating teams only 10 beat our baseline model.
  - Feature engineering was pivotal to success.
- Prioritizing alerts using top solutions showed a great potential to optimize SoC operations:
  - 86% of suspicious events identified in only 20% of alerts with the highest predicted scores.
  - 25% reduction in the number of alerts that had to be manually investigated to detect all positive cases.



### XAI for the competition results?



# Approximations of solutions – rough set theory basics

- In the rough set theory, concepts in data are described by their lower and upper approximations.
- Decision reducts the base for RS approximations.
- Each decision reduct is an intrinsically interpretable prediction model – it corresponds to a set of rules.
- A collection of reducts can approximate predictions of an arbitrary ML model.
- Such a surrogate model can be interpreted using common XAI techniques.



### **Reduct-based similarities and neighborhoods**

- Reducts used to construct the surrogate model can be used to find data instances that are similar in the context of the investigated solution...
- and facilitate the computation of neighborhoods.
- We used the neighborhoods for a detailed diagnostic of prediction errors.
- We compute, so called, diagnostic attributes and used them to provide insightful information about the diagnosed data instance and the model.
  - For instance: the model made an error, but the label of the instance is inconsistent with labels of similar data cases from the training set.
- We constructed expert rules using selected diagnostic attribute values to indicate possible causes of errors made by investigated models.

### **Quality of reduct-based approximations**



#### Correlation of importance coefficients $\approx 0.7$

### R2(approximations, predictions) $\geq$ 0.9

# Similarity and neighborhoods

- We can use reducts to find similar instances with regard to a particular solution and compute neighborhoods.
- The similarity between neighborhoods can be used to visualize the data (e.g., using UMAP).
- We may visualize prediction errors and allow users for a more detailed investigation of selected instances.
- The neighborhoods can also be used in a more detailed error diagnostics.



### **Errors overview**

- Error heatmaps help us in finding the most difficult or problematic cases.
- We can identify potential issues in the test data.
- We can also cluster the solutions with regard to type of errors they make.



# Visualizations of submissions

### **UMAP plot of submitted solutions:**

- Embeddings of submissions represented as ranking vectors.
- Spearman's correlation used as the similarity metric.
- Shades in the background represent the estimated score of a submission from a given spot.



## Conclusions

- Data mining competitions can help you in data science research:
- You may outsource your research to ML community.
- You may use results in post-competition research.
- > You may start cooperation with ML experts.
- They are an objective benchmark for ML algorithms and a source of topics for publications.
- Model-agnostic analysis of prediction outcomes helps:
- It makes the post-competition analysis of results more insightful.
- It enables discovering potential issues with the models and the used datasets.



# KnowledgePit.ai as a recruitment support tool

- Data science challenges are an objective way of verifying practical data science skills.
- Recruiters may use it to make the initial assessments of candidates for related positions.
- We plan to launch a service dedicated to recruiters – which will allow to configure "quizzes" composed of data science tasks.
- We will use the BrightBox technology to provide insightful reports to recruiters.





# Thank you!

Andrzej Janusz andrzej.janusz@qed.pl